





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,873	10/31/2001	Mike Thomas	ANCO-25US/119	7709	
26875 75	590 03/12/2003				
WOOD, HER	RON & EVANS, LLP		EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW 441 VINE STR	EET		PHAN, THO GIA		
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			282 I	282 I	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Jan				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/998,873	THOMAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tho G. Phan	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	December 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/998,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al (Re. 34,796) [newly cited].

Smith et al in figures 2-3 disclose a distributed antenna array comprising a plurality of antenna elements 220, a plurality of power amplifiers 205, each power amplifier being operatively coupled with one of the antenna and mounted closely adjacent to the associated antenna element, such that no appreciable power loss occurs between the power amplifier and the associated antenna element and each power amplifier comprising a relatively low power, linear power amplifier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/998,873

Art Unit: 2821

£\$.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 4, 12-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al in view of Carloni et al (5,815,115).

Smith et al have been discussed above but fail to teach a first/second DC bias tee mounted on the tower/support structure and operatively coupled with the antenna structure. However, Carloni et al in figures 2-7 disclose a first/second DC bias tee mounted on the tower/support structure and operatively coupled with the antenna structure. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the DC bias tee as taught by Carloni et al for the purpose of separating the RF signaling from the DC power supply current in the RF feeder cable and also for providing the DC power supply current to the local power supply of the tower mounted amplifier.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2821

The patents to Scherzer et al and O'Malley et al are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to each linear power amplifier being operatively coupled with one of the antenna and mounted closely adjacent to the associated antenna element.

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner THO G. PHAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-3051.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.
- 8. Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications **only** may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is *(703)* 308-7722 or *(703)* 308-7724.

THO G. PHAN

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2821

August 6, 2003